

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,693	04/26/2001	David W.J. Stringer-Calvert	SRI/4285-2	3289
52197 7590 11/14/2007 PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP SRI INTERNATIONAL 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, NIKETA I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$m\sim$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/844,693	STRINGER-CALVERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Niketa I. Patel	2181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	TON. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	October 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th						
	<i>,</i> —					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	awn from consideration. 2-51 is/are rejected.	cation.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli ority documents have been rec	cation No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 09/844,693

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/30/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-18, 20-23, 25-35, 37-40 and 42-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bots et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,226,748 B1 (hereinafter referred to as "*Bots*") and Pandya et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,671,724 B1 (hereinafter referred to as "*Pandya*") and further in view of Li U.S. Patent Number: 6,751,220 B1 (hereinafter "*Li*".)
- 4. **Referring to claims 1, 18, 35**, *Bots* teaches a group management system comprising: a plurality of interconnected nodes [see figure 2 elements 201-203, 211-213, 221-223, 331-332] communicatively coupled with each other as member nodes of

a virtual private network ("VPN") [see figure 2 – element 'VPNU' and column 2 – lines 36-67 and column 3, lines 1-7] wherein all communications between said interconnected nodes are encrypted [see column 2, lines 55-62, to insure secure data communication between members of the same VPN group, when packets are to be sent between member of the group, encryption and authentication are used]; and a plurality of master nodes, different from the plurality of interconnected nodes [see figure 2 element 'VPNU'], each of the master nodes controlling membership in the VPN for an associated non-empty subset of the member nodes [see column 3 - lines 8-22] and further facilitating said communications between said plurality of interconnected nodes [see column 6 – lines 37-52] wherein a membership change in at least one of the subsets can be performed without notifying all of the master nodes not associated with the changed subset [see Bots column 2 - lines 36-67 and column 3, lines 1-7.] Bots does not set forth the limitation of wherein in the event one of the master nodes fails, the associated subset of member nodes will be automatically reassigned to one or more other of the master nodes however, Pandya teaches this limitation [see Pandya column 7, lines 11-57, 'one or more back up control points that will assume primary control'] in order to avoid catastrophic network failure.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the system of *Bots* to have a backup master node that will assume control in an event of primary master node's failure, in order to avoid catastrophic network failure. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill

in the art would have been motivated to implement *Bots's* system with a backup master node in order to avoid catastrophic network failure.

Bots does not set forth the limitation wherein all communication between said interconnected nodes are encrypted by said interconnected nodes, however *Li* teaches this limitation [see *Li* column 1, lines 36-42] in order to ensure the privacy of the VPN transmissions.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the system of *Li* to ensure that all communication between said interconnected nodes are encrypted by said interconnected nodes in order to ensure the privacy of the VPN transmissions. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement *Bots's* system the limitation of wherein all communication between said interconnected nodes are encrypted by said interconnected nodes in order to ensure the privacy of the VPN transmissions.

- 5. **Referring to claims 3,20, 37**, the combination of *Bots*, *Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system wherein at least two of the subsets do not share any member nodes in common [see *Bots* figure 2 elements 201-203, 211-213, 221-223, 331-332.]
- 6. **Referring to claims 4, 21, 38**, the combination of *Bots*, *Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches a group management system however fails to set forth the limitation of the system wherein at least two of the subsets share at least one member node in common.

 Pandya teaches the above stated limitations [see column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.] One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would

Application/Control Number: 09/844,693

Page 5

Art Unit: 2181

have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the system of *Bots* to have at least two of subsets share at least one member node in common in order to provide an alternate routing path. It is for this reason that one or ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement *Bots's* system with at least two of subsets share at least one member node in common in order to provide an alternate routing path.

- 7. **Referring to claims 5, 22, 39**, the combination of *Bots*, *Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system wherein a communication involving said common member node can be transmitted along multiple paths [see *Pandya* column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.]
- 8. **Referring to claims 6, 23, 40**, the combination of *Bots*, *Pandya* and *Li*, teaches the system further comprising an intrusion detection mechanism that receives said multiple-path communication as input [see *Pandya* column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.]
- 9. **Referring to claims 8, 25, 42**, the combination of *Bots*, *Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system wherein each of the member nodes is associated with at least one of the master nodes as a back-up master [see column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.]
- 10. **Referring to claims 9, 26, 43,** the combination of *Bots*, *Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system wherein the plurality of interconnected nodes are communicatively coupled as part of a peer-to-peer network [see *Pandya* column 6 lines 26-35; column 10 lines 12-15.]

- 11. **Referring to claims 10, 27, 44**, the combination of *Bots, Pandya* and *Li,*, teaches the system wherein the plurality of master nodes are part of an edge-based content delivery network [see *Pandya* column 6 lines 26-35.]
- 12. **Referring to claims 11, 28, 45**, the combination of *Bots, Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system wherein the member nodes are allocated to the subsets at least partly based upon one or more criteria of connectivity between each of the member nodes and the corresponding master nodes [see *Pandya* column 4 lines 22-46; column 6 lines 26-35.]
- 13. **Referring to claims 12, 29, 46**, the combination of *Bots, Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system wherein the connectivity criteria are selected from a group of criteria comprising geographical distance, topological distance, bandwidth, latency, jitter, financial cost, and political boundaries [see *Pandya* column 8 lines 47-67; column 9 lines 1-13.]
- 14. **Referring to claims 13, 30, 47,** the combination of *Bots, Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system wherein at least one of the master nodes further controls membership in another virtual overlay group different from the VPN [see *Pandya* column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.]
- 15. **Referring to claims 14, 31, 48**, the combination of *Bots, Pandya* and *Li*, teaches the system of wherein an encryption key is used for communication [see *Pandya* column 9 lines 50-65; column 10 lines 52-65] however, does not set forth the limitation of the system of wherein a communication from a first one of the subsets of the member nodes uses a first encryption key, and a communication from a second one

of the subsets uses a second encryption key that is different from the first encryption key. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention that it was old and well known in the computer networking art to get the advantage of secure data transmission by providing each unit/subset with it's own encryption key. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use two separate encryption keys for both of the subsets, to get this advantage.

- 16. **Referring to claims 15, 32, 49,** the combination of *Bots, Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system of wherein an encryption key is used for communication [see *Pandya* column 9 lines 50-65; column 10 lines 52-65] however, does not set forth the limitation of the system wherein one or more of the master nodes are operable to translate between the encryption keys. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention that it was old and well known in the computer networking art to get the advantage of allowing devices connected to two different subsets to communicate with each other by providing a master node with an encryption key translator. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use encryption key translator to achieve this advantage.
- 17. **Referring to claims 16, 33, 50**, the combination of *Bots*, *Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system of wherein an encryption key is used for communication [see *Pandya* column 9 lines 50-65; column 10 lines 52-65] however, does not set forth the limitation of the system wherein a communication from a first one of the subsets of

the member nodes and a communication from a second one of the subsets of the member nodes both use the same encryption key. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention that it was old and well known in the computer networking art to get the advantage of saving resources by using same encryption key to communicate with a device that is being shared between two different subsets of the member nodes. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use same encryption key to achieve this advantage.

18. **Referring to claims 17, 34, 51**, the combination of *Bots*, *Pandya* and *Li*,, teaches the system wherein at least one of the master nodes are operable to remotely install software communication mechanisms for a new member node of the VPN without the necessity of installing augmented hardware for the new member node [see *Pandya* column 4 – lines 30-61; column 6 – lines 60-67; column 7 – lines 1-10.]

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments filed 10/30/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that *Bots* does not teach the limitation of wherein a membership change in at least one of the subsets can be performed without notifying all of the master nodes not associated with the changed subset, see pages numbered 10-14 of the remarks section.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. *Bots* teaches the limitation of wherein a membership change in at least one of the subsets can be performed without notifying all of the master nodes not associated with the changed subset [see *Bots* column 2 – lines 36-67 and column 3, lines 1-7.] The each of the dedicated VPN units, i.e., the protectors of the VPN group are configured to add or subtract members form the virtual private network group.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niketa I. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 4156. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272 4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Niketa Patel

Patent Examiner

11/8/2007